1	1	
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	
5	5	
6	6	
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9	9 PATRICK L. GUILLORY,)	
10	O Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C06-747-MJP-MJB
11	1 v.	
12		ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
13		TOR ALTORVIMENT OF COUNSEL
14		
15	The Court, having reviewed Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel,	
16	Defendants' response in opposition, and the balance of the record, does hereby find and	
17	ORDER:	
18	(1) Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel (Dkt. #33) is DENIED. There is	
19	no right to have counsel appointed in cases brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Although	
20	the Court, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1), can request counsel to represent a party	
21	proceeding in forma pauperis, the Court may do so only in exceptional circumstances.	
22	Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986); Franklin v. Murphy, 745	
23	F.2d 1221, 1236 (9th Cir. 1984); Aldabe v. Aldabe, 616 F.2d 1089 (9th Cir. 1980). A	
24	finding of exceptional circumstances requires an evaluation of both the likelihood of	
25	success on the merits and the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light	
26	of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Wilborn, 789 F.2d at 1331.	

Plaintiff has not been granted in forma pauperis status in this case. Therefore, he fails to satisfy the financial prerequisite for appointment of counsel under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). (2) The Clerk shall send copies of this Order to Plaintiff, to counsel for Defendants, and to the Honorable Marsha J. Pechman. DATED this 4th day of January, 2007. United States Magistrate Judge ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL PAGE - 2